Fundamental Concepts of Programming Languages Functional Programming Fundamentals Lecture 12

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila

University Politehnica Timisoara Department of Computing and Information Technology

January 8, 2023

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (UniverFundamental Concepts of Programming Lang

January 8, 2023 1 / 56

FCPL - 12 - Functional Programming Fundamentals

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
 - Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

2/56

January 8, 2023

- Beta reduction
- Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
- Mu reduction
- Boolean values and conditional expressions

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (UniverFundamental Concepts of Programming Lang

FCPL - 12 - Functional Programming Fundamentals

Introduction

- Referential transparency
- Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables
- Beta reduction
 - Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (Univer<mark>Fundamental Concepts of Programming Lang</mark>

Introduction

- Functional programming languages
 - Based on computations with functions
- The execution of a pure functional program
 - The evaluation of expressions that contain function calls
- Functional programs advantages
 - Are wrote fast
 - Are more concise
 - Are high level
 - Good for formal checking
 - Can be executed fast on parallel architectures

Referential transparency

- Important characteristic of functional programming
 - There are no side effects !!!
- Pure functional language
 - Assures the referential transparency
- The semantic of a construction and the value resulted from the evaluation
 - depend exclusively only on the semantic of its components

Referential transparency example

- For the expression (f + g) * (x + y) the semantic and thus the value depend only on:
 - *f* + *g*
 - x + y
- For the subexpression f + g the semantic and thus the value depend only on:
 - f and g
 - and it is independent of (x + y)
- For the subexpression x + y the semantic and thus the value depend only on:
 - \bullet x and y
 - and it is independent of (f + g)

Referential transparency

- Allows substitution of expressions with the same semantic
- Thus, we can replace

•
$$(x + y) * z$$
 with $x * z + y * z$

- The value of the expression does not depend on evaluation order
 - *x* * *z* can be replaced with *z* * *x*

Variables and assignment

- make an expression depend on the history of the program execution
- especially global variables
- side effects
- in imperative languages and non pure functional
 - referential transparency is not enabled

Variables and assignment

- example:
- if f and g are functions depending on global variable
 - then the very same expression (f + g) * (x + y)
 - may provide different values on several evaluations
 - depending on the global variable

Variables and assignment

- example:
- the expression (x + y) * f will not have the same value with
 - x * f + y * f
 - if f is a function which modifies the value of y

Transparency property

- is very important
- influences the readability of
 - programs
 - analysis
 - automatic formal checking
- it is one of the main property of functional pure languages

FCPL - 12 - Functional Programming Fundamentals

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
 - Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

12/56

January 8, 2023

- Beta reduction
- Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
- Mu reduction
- Boolean values and conditional expressions

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (Univer<mark>Fundamental Concepts of Programming Lang</mark>

Lambda calculus

- developed by mathematician Alonzo Church in the 30's
- Church presents a simple mathematical system that allows formalization of
- programming laguages
- programming in general
- the notation may seem unusual
- it can be viewed as a simple functional language

Lambda calculus (LC)

- from LC we can develop all the other modern programming languages features
- it can be used as a universal code in translating functional languages
 - it is simple, but not necessarily an efficient technique
- it can be easily interpreted
- it is a mathematical system to manipulate the so called λ expressions

A λ expression

- a name
 - string of characters
- a function
- the application of a function

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (UniverFundamental Concepts of Programming Lang

The function

- λ name.body
- \bullet name preceded by λ is called the bound variable of the function
 - similar to a formal parameter
- body is a λ expression
- the function has no name

The application of a function

- has the form (expression expression)
 - the first expression is a function
 - the second expression is the argument
- represents a concretization of the function
- the name specified as a bound variable in the expression will be replaced with the argument

Examples

- identity function
- auto-application function

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (Univer<mark>Fundamental Concepts of Programming Lang</mark>

э

A A N

Identity function

- $\lambda x.x$
- bound variable
 - first x
- body
 - the second x
- $(\lambda x.x a)$ results in a
- the argument can be a function itself
- $(\lambda x. x \ \lambda x. x)$ results in $\lambda x. x$

Auto-application function

- λa.(a a)
 - a is the bound variable
 - (a a) is the body
- passing an argument to this function the effect is that the argument is applied to itself
- If we apply auto-application to the identity function
 - (λ a.(a a) λ x.x) results λ x.x
- If we apply the auto-application function to itself
 - (λ a.(a a) λ a.(a a)) results in (λ a.(a a) λ a.(a a))
 - the auto-application never ends

β reduction

- In order to simplify the writing of λ expressions we will introduce a notation that allows us to associate a name with a function
 - def identity = $\lambda x.x$
 - def auto-application= λ a.(a a)
- (name argument)
 - the application of the name to the specified argument
- (name argument) is similar to (function argument)
 - where the name was associated with the function

Beta reduction

β reduction

- is to replace a bound variable with the argument specified in the application
- as many times as it occurs in the function body
- (function argument) => expression
 - after one β reduction in the application from the left results in the expression from the right
- (function argument) => ... => expressions
 - the expression is obtained after several β reductions

Examples Selecting the first argument

- def sel_first= λ first. λ second.first
 - first bound variable
 - $\lambda \texttt{second.first} \texttt{the body}$
- ((sel_first arg1)arg2)==
- ((λ first. λ second.first arg1) arg2)=>
- (λ second.arg1 arg2) => arg1
- applied to a pair of arguments arg1 and arg2
- the function returns the first argument arg1
- the second argument arg2 is ignored

Comments

- in order to simplify notation we can skip the parentheses
- when there are no ambiguities
- to apply two arguments to sel_first function can be denoted
- sel_first arg1 arg2
- the notation is of a function with two parameters

Comments

- in λ calculus such functions are expressed through nested functions
- the function $\lambda first.\lambda second.first$ applied to a random argument (arg1) result in a function
- $\lambda \texttt{second.arg1}$
- that applied to any other second argument returns arg1

Examples Selecting the second argument

def sel_second= λ first. λ second.second sel_second arg1 arg2 == λ second.second arg2 => arg2

Examples Building a tuple of values

```
def build_tuple arg1 arg2 ==

\lambdafirst.\lambdasecond.\lambdaf.(f first second) arg1 arg2 =>

\lambdasecond.\lambdaf.(f arg1 second) arg2 =>

\lambdaf.(f arg1 arg2)

\lambdaf.(f arg1 arg2) sel_first=>

sel_first arg1 arg2 => ... =>arg1

\lambdaf.(f arg1 arg2) sel_second=>

sel_second arg1 arg2 => ... =>arg2
```

Variables binding. Free and bound variables

- the issues addressed are similar to variables domain from a programming language
- arguments substitution in the body of a function are well accomplished when bound variables in function expressions are named differently

•
$$(\lambda f.(f \lambda x.x) \lambda a.(a a))$$

• the three involved functions in the expression have f, x and a as bound variables

• (
$$\lambda$$
f.(f λ x.x) λ a.(a a)) =>

• (λ a.(a a) λ x.x) =>

•
$$(\lambda x.x \ \lambda x.x) => \lambda x.x$$

Variables binding. Free and bound variables

- $(\lambda f.(f \ \lambda x.x) \ \lambda a.(a a))$
- expression can be written like:
- $(\lambda f.(f \ \lambda f.f) \ \lambda a.(a a))$ with the $\lambda f.f$ result after the substitution
- for the first substitution the f bound variable is replaced in function $\lambda f.(f \lambda f.f)$ with $\lambda a.(a a)$
- this implies the replacement of the first f from the expression (f λf.f)
- it results (λa.(a a) λf.f) which can be further reduced

Variables binding. Free and bound variables

- we do not replace f from the body of the function $\lambda {\rm f.f}$
- in the new function f is a new bound variable
- accidentally they have the same name

The domain of the bound variable of a function

- given the function
- λ name.body
- the domain of the name bound variable is over the function body
- the occurrences of the same name outside the function body does not correspond to the bound variable

Examples

- considering the expression
- $(\lambda f. \lambda g. \lambda a. (f (g a)) \lambda g. (g g))$
- the domain of the f bound variable is expression
- $\lambda g. \lambda a. (f (g a))$
- the domain of the g bound variable is expression
- $\lambda a.(f(g a))$
- the domain of the g variable is the expression

• (g g)

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ

Bound variable definition

- the occurrence of a variable v in an expression E is bound if it is present in an subexpression of E which has the form λv.E1
 - v appears in the body of a function with a bound to the variable called v
- otherwise the occurrence of v is a free variable

More examples

v(a b v)
v is free
λv.v(x y v)
v is bound
v(λv.(y v) y)
v is free in the first occurrence
v is bound in the second occurrence

conf. dr. ing. Ciprian-Bogdan Chirila (Univer<mark>Fundamental Concepts of Programming Lang</mark>

Variable domain definition

- given the function
- λ name.body
- the domain of the bound variable name extends over the body sequences in which the occurrence of name is free

Example

- given the expression
- λ g.(g λ h.(h(g λ h.(h λ g.(h g)))) g)
- we establish the domain of g by analyzing the function body
 - $(g \lambda h.(h(g \lambda h.(h \lambda g.(h g)))) g)$
- the appearances of g outside the red marked zone are free

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

β reduction definition

- given the application (λ name.body argument)
- we replace all the free occurrences of name from the body with argument

Initial example revisited

- $(\lambda f.(f \ \lambda f.f) \ \lambda a.(a a))$
- the applied function is
- $\lambda f.(f \lambda f.f)$
- its body is
- (f λ f.f)
- the first and only the first occurrence of f is free and it will be replaced with the argument specified in the application

• (λ a.(a a) λ f.f) => (λ f.f λ f.f) => λ f.f

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

January 8, 2023

39 / 56

Beta reduction

- Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
- Mu reduction
- Boolean values and conditional expressions

β reduction strong definition

- given an application (λ name.body argument)
- we replace all occurences of name from the body with the argument
- e.g. $(\lambda f.(f \ \lambda f.f) \ \lambda a.(a a))$
- the applied function is $\lambda f.(f \lambda f.f)$
- its body is (f λ f.f)
- (λ a.(a a) λ f.f)
- (λ f.f λ f.f)
- $\lambda f.f$

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

January 8, 2023

41 / 56

- Beta reduction
- Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

Name conflicts. Alfa conversions

- $\bullet\,$ applying a $\beta\,$ reduction, name conflicts may arrise
- e.g.:

the result is errorneous the error may be corrected like: $(\lambda x.\lambda y1.(x y1) y z)$ => $(\lambda y1.(y y1) z)$ => y z

Name conflicts. Alfa conversions

Given a function

- λ name1.body
- the name of the bound variable name1 and also the free appearances of the name1 inside the function body may be replaced with a new name, name2 given the condition that in λ name1.body appears no free variable named name2
- The function $\lambda y.(x \ y)$ was transformed in function $\lambda y1.(x \ y1)$

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

January 8, 2023

44 / 56

- Beta reduction
 - Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

Mu reduction

- μ reduction is a transformation that (like β reduction) allows the replacement of a λ expression with an equivalent, simpler one
- given the function
 λname.(expression name)
 it is equivalent to:
 expression
- λname.(expression name) argument
 => (expression argument)

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

46 / 56

January 8, 2023

- Beta reduction
 - Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

Applied λ calculus

- involves logical values
- involves logical operations
- the C ternary operator condition ? ex1 : ex2
- we model the logical values with the following functions: sel_first, sel_second, build_tuple

Applied λ calculus

```
def cond=\lambdae1.\lambdae2.\lambdac.(c e1 e2)
we apply this function successively to expressions ex1 and ex2:
```

```
cond ex1 ex2 ==
\lambda e1.\lambda e2.\lambda c.(c e1 e2) ex1 ex2=>
\lambda e2.\lambda c.(c ex1 e2) ex2=>
\lambda c.(c ex1 ex2)
```

Applied λ calculus

```
the true and false values will be represented by the
sel first and sel second functions
def true = \lambda p. \lambda s. p
def false = \lambda p.\lambda s.s
resulting:
cond ex1 ex2 true => \ldots =>
\lambdac.(c ex1 ex2) \lambdap.\lambdas.p =>
\lambda p. \lambda s. p ex1 ex2 => ... => ex1
similarly:
cond ex1 ex2 false => ... =>
\lambdac.(c ex1 ex2) \lambdap.\lambdas.s =>
\lambda p. \lambda s. s ex1 ex2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow ex2
                                                             = sac
```

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

January 8, 2023

50 / 56

- 3 Beta reduction
 - Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

The NOT logical operator

def not= $\lambda x.$ (cond false true x) e.g.: not true == $\lambda x.$ (cond false true x) true => cond false true true => ... => false conversely not false == $\lambda x.$ (cond false true x) false => cond false true false => ... => true

E SQA

The AND logical operator

```
def and=\lambda x. \lambda y. (cond y false x)
e.g.:
we compute true AND false
(and true false) ==
\lambda x.\lambda y. (cond y false x) true false => ... =>
cond false false true => ... => false
we compute false AND true
(and false true) ==
\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot (\text{cond } y \text{ false } x) \text{ false true => } \dots =>
cond true false false => ... => false
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The AND logical operator

we compute NOT false AND true (and (not false) true) == $\lambda x . \lambda y.$ (cond y false x) ($\lambda x.$ (cond false true x)) true => ... => $\lambda x. \lambda y.$ (cond y false x) true true => ... => cond true false true => ... => true

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト う り へ つ

The OR logical operator

```
def or=\lambda x. \lambda y. (cond true y x)
e.g.:
we compute true OR false
(or true false) ==
\lambda x. \lambda y. (cond true y x) true false => ... =>
cond true false true => ... => true
```

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ●

- Introduction
 - Referential transparency
 - Variables and assignment
- 2 Lambda calculus
 - Lambda calculus and functions
 - Beta reduction
 - Variable binding. Free variables and bound variables

January 8, 2023

55 / 56

- 3 Beta reduction
 - Name conflicts. Alfa conversions
 - Mu reduction
 - Boolean values and conditional expressions

Bibliography

- Horia Ciocarlie The programming language universe, second edition, Timisoara, 2013.
- Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jarayeri Programming Languages, John Wiley, 1987.
- Ellis Horrowitz Fundamentals of programming languages, Computer Science Press, 1984.
- Onald Knuth The art of computer programming, 2002.